FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 07 NOV -8 AM 10: 36

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THY: (P. DEPUTY

ROBERT MOORE

PETITIONER

Vs.

UNITED STATES OF

AMERICA

RESPONDANT

'07 CV 2137 H
Motion for Correction or
Reduction of Sentence of
Judgement.
Under Title 18 U.S.C.3582(c)(2)

Procedural History

Petitioner before the court, on september 25, 1989 was sentenced by the Honorable Howard B. Turentine, which defendant reveived 300 months by the Court, which the calculated a level 36 (see exhibit 3&4) of the sentencing transcript.

Legal Argument

The defendant calculates what Congress and the U.S sentencing commission proposed crack guidelines new amendment, the defendant would be under the amount of 500 grams by the government on our calculation (see exhibit 4&8) sentencing hearing transcript.

Next Legal Argument

Is that 18 U.S.C Section (c) (2) gives the district court the discretion to reduce a sentence whenever a guideline range has been subsequently low by the sentencing commission.

Burrell to all the factors

Honorable Judge, You do have the flexibility with the new amendment which gives you the power to resentence me. The petitioner in this above case is asking the court to grant this motion for immediate release based on the new crack cocaine amendment.

And the fact the petitioner has served 90 percent of his 300 month sentence that was imposed on him.

Two Argument

The petitioner is in fact a model inmate in the prison. (see exhibit #2 & 3) Chronological disciplinary record. Also (see exhibit #5) petitioner education transcripts while in prison.

Conclusion

For all the foregoing reasons, petitioner humbly request that this Honorable court grant his motion for immediate release.

Date: Nov, 3,2007

Robert James Moore #15878-198

count two. So, that's why I say that I don't think there is a basis for going to 36, as counsel does and the Probation Office does. That was one of the reasons we pled to -- that this case resolved itself, was because we limited the object of the conspiracy to the substantive count in count seven.

I think it would be unfair, your Honor, to hold Mr. Moore responsible for all -- for the entire -- all of the overt acts, et cetera, et cetera, in the conspiracy count when that was not his intention, as testified on the record.

1.1

18.

THE COURT: Counsel, I've read and considered the presentence report -- this, and of course, your argument, and I've read the matters that you filed in support of your position here this morning. I believe, under the guidelines, I'm entitled to take into consideration the overall amount of drugs involved. I, therefore, will find that this case is a category 36.

I have some disagreement with the Probation Office at this point on the state of the record as to whether the Defendant is classified as a four or a three, and I will reduce that from a four to a three -- there's no question in my mind that the Defendant was a manager or a supervisor, but not an organizer or leader. He may have been, but I think it's very clear that at least, he was a manager or supervisor. So, that will increase the matter from 36 to

it's under 500 grams, it's a 34, and if it's 500 or over, it's a 36?

MR. LASATER: Yes, your Honor. The only disagreement is what's attributable to Mr. Moore.

- 3

7.

· 1.0

THE COURT: Okay. But, we have the two bases to start from -- your contention and your contention. Very well -- proceed, Counsel.

MR. BROOKLIER: Perhaps, I should start there then, your Honor, since the Court asked that question. This is less than 500 grams by the Government's own calculations. It's clearly a criminal 34 category. I would suggest -- and I would have suggested -- if the Government wants to stick Mr. Moore with more than he pleads to, that should have been done by a trial. We should have had a trial.

You know, your Honor, when Mr. Moore was first indicted, when he voluntarily surrendered himself to the jurisdiction of this court -- he was out at sea someplace. He didn't have to come back. When he came back here in November, your Honor, that was -- count seven was the charge he was facing -- that hand-to-hand delivery. And, we go through months and months of pre-trial preparation, and the Government, on the very day of trial -- and I mean this in no demeaning way to Mr. Lasater, who has been a perfect gentlemen and a fine advocate for the Government -- on the day of trial drops the 848. That has to mean something. It

39. He gets a credit of two for acknowledging his responsibility, which puts him at 37.

Then, we go to the criminal history. The Government puts him at nine, which would put him at class four. Where's my chart?

(Pause.)

MR. BROOKLIER: Does your Honor wish the sentencing table?

THE COURT: I have it here. It's just a question of finding it. Let's see, we've got 36, 34, 37 -- and I would think he would fit in as to not a class four, but a class three, which the sentence range is from 262 to 327 months. That's the way I calculate it.

Be that as it may, the Court has read and considered the presentence report, considered the nature and circumstances of the offense, and the history and characteristics of the Defendant. I find the guidelines -- computed in the manner and method which I did, of 262 to 327 months. Therefore, pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, it's the judgment of the Court that the Defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 300 months. Upon release from imprisonment, the Defendant shall be placed on supervised release for a period of five years. The Defendant shall abide by the standard conditions of supervised release and

.5

.6

Just a couple of other comments, your Honor -- I'd like to cite one case to the Court that I think is going to be a very important case in terms of the guidelines and their application. It really comes down to the real versus charged offense sort of theory. There's a case in the Western District of Tennessee -- it's called <u>United States</u> versus Smith, and it's at 686 F.Supp. 1246. The court in that case stated -- and I'm going to quote,

"The conduct necessary to support inclusion in the base offense level must be established by a finding of the jury, a plea of guilty confirmed by a finding of guilty in open court, or a stipulated offense other than the offense of the conviction."

Now, what that means, your Honor, is this. This is what I think it means -- that the Government can't agree to a stipulated basis, or a factual basis, on June 7, 1988 -- the date of Mr. Moore's plea -- and then, come in here four months later, as if they've convicted him of the 848. They didn't. That's why we have juries, that's why we have courts.

Lastly, your Honor, I think there are some things that need to be stricken from the presentence report. I'm not going to go through the Prosecution version because that's their allegation, and I know that the Court accepts it that way. But, in terms of -- starting on page 21, to be

VIMF2 Case 3:07*cv-02137-H INMATEINDESCIPLINE | Odd 1/2 | Page 709t 1/2 | 2005
PAGE 001 * CHRONOLOGICAL DISCIPLINARY RECORD * 07:34:15 REGISTER NO: 15878-198 NAME..: MOORE, ROBERT JAMES FUNCTION...: PRT FORMAT: CHRONO LIMIT TO MOS PRIOR TO 03-17-2005 REPORT NUMBER/STATUS .: 990999 - SANCTIONED INCIDENT DATE/TIME: 05-11-2002 0814 DHO HEARING DATE/TIME: 05-21-2002 1720 FACL/CHAIRPERSON....: VIM/T. MCGEE REPORT REMARKS.....: COMMITTED PROHIBITED ACT CODE 397. SANCTION PER 5270.07. ADMITTED USING INMATE SUTTLES #04268-031'S PIN#. 397 PHONE ABUSE, NON-CRIMINAL - FREQ: 1 LP COMM / 30 DAYS / CS COMP: LAW: ADMITTED USING INMATE SUTTLES #04268-031'S PIN # TO MAKE TELEPHONE CALLS. LP PHONE / 30 DAYS / CS LAW: LOSS OF PHONE AND COMMISSARY TILL 06-19-2002. COMP: REPORT NUMBER/STATUS.: 829766 - SANCTIONED INCIDENT DATE/TIME: 10-29-2000 2033 DHO HEARING DATE/TIME: 11-29-2000 0923 FACL/CHAIRPERSON....: VIM/T. MCGEE REPORT REMARKS.....: INMATE COMMITTED PROHIBITED CODE 406 & SANCTIONED IN COM COMPLIANCE WITH P.S. 5270.07 INMATE DISCIPLINE AND SHU USING PHONE OR MAIL W/O AUTH - FREQ: 2 EXTRA DUTY / 10 HOURS / CS COMP: LAW: INMATE TO PERFORM 10 HOURS OF EXTRA DUTY BEFORE COMPLETION DATE OF 12/13/00 REPORT NUMBER/STATUS.: 827227 - SANCTIONED INCIDENT DATE/TIME: 10-26-2000 0900 DHO HEARING DATE/TIME: 11-20-2000 1420 FACL/CHAIRPERSON....: VIM/T. MCGEE REPORT REMARKS....: 297 CODE REDUCED TO PROHIBITED CODE 406. VIOLATION COMM-ITTED PRIOR TO 11-06-2000. 406 USING PHONE OR MAIL W/O AUTH - FREQ: 1 EXTRA DUTY / 20 HOURS / CS COMP: LAW: INMATE MUST COMPLETE 20 HOURS EXTRA DUTY BY 12-04-REPORT NUMBER/STATUS.: 814230 - SANCTIONED INCIDENT DATE/TIME: 09-09-2000 1430 UDC HEARING DATE/TIME: 09-13-2000 2030 FACL/UDC/CHAIRPERSON.: VIM/E/F/T.A.JONES REPORT REMARKS.....: INMATE ADMITTED TO MAKING INAPPROPRIATE COMMENT TO STAFF 312 BEING INSOLENT TO STAFF MEMBER - FREQ: 1 EXTRA DUTY / 8 HOURS / CS COMP: LAW: 8 HOURS EXTRA DUTY TO BE PERFORMED 9/17/00 LP PHONE / 30 DAYS / CS / SUSPENDED 180 DAYS

COMP: LAW: 30 DAY PHONE RESTRICTION SUSPENDED PENDING CLEAR

CONDUCT FOR 180 DAYS .

G0002

MORE PAGES TO FOLLOW

a)

Page 8 of 12 **03-17-2005 VIMF2 Case 3:07*cv-02137-H INDAGHINGS 1PLINE DATA 1/08/2007 PAGE 002 OF 002 * CHRONOLOGICAL DISCIPLINARY RECORD REGISTER NO: 15878-198 NAME..: MOORE, ROBERT JAMES FUNCTION...: PRT FORMAT: CHRONO LIMIT TO MOS PRIOR TO 03-17-2005 REPORT NUMBER/STATUS.: 507085 - SANCTIONED INCIDENT DATE/TIME: 07-05-1997 1842 UDC HEARING DATE/TIME: 07-08-1997 1359 FACL/UDC/CHAIRPERSON.: FLP/E/PEEK REPORT REMARKS....: TELEPHONE RESTRICTION FOR 45 DAYS 406 USING PHONE OR MAIL W/O AUTH - FREQ: 1 LOSE PRIV / 45 DAYS / CS COMP: LAW: TELEPHONE RESTRICTION FOR 45 DAYS REPORT NUMBER/STATUS .: 246495 - SANCTIONED INCIDENT DATE/TIME: 08-04-1994 0750 UDC HEARING DATE/TIME: 08-08-1994 1500 FACL/UDC/CHAIRPERSON.: PHX/PIMA/SHAW, F REPORT REMARKS.....: COMMISSARY RESTRICTION (SUSPENDED) 327 CONTACTING PUBLIC WITHOUT AUTH - FREQ: 1 LOSE PRIV / 60 DAYS / CS / SUSPENDED 180 DAYS COMP: LAW: COMMISSARY REPORT NUMBER/STATUS.: 62982 - SANCTIONED INCIDENT DATE/TIME: 01-13-1992 1210 DHO HEARING DATE/TIME: 01-28-1992 0903 FACL/CHAIRPERSON....: PET/TARLETON H REPORT REMARKS.....: STAFF REP E. JACKSON, CODES 203 AND 298 WERE EXPUNGED FROM REPORT REFUSING TO OBEY AN ORDER - FREQ: 1 DS / 15 DAYS / CS / SUSPENDED 90 DAYS COMP: RELEASE TO POPULATION PROVIDING BED SPACE IS AVAILABLE LOSE PRIV / 90 DAYS / CS COMP: LAW: LOSS OF COMMISSARY EXCEPT FOR STAMP PURCHASES

G0005

TRANSACTION SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETED - CONTINUE PROCESSING IF DESIRED

EXHibit &5

Case 3:07-cv-02137-H Document 1 Filed 11/09/2007 Page 9 of 12

VIMF2 * PAGE 001 OF 001 *

INMATE EDUCATION DATA TRANSCRIPT

03-17-2005 07:34:07

REGISTER NO: 15878-198 FORMAT...: TRANSCRIPT

NAME . . : MOORE

RSP OF: VIM-VICTORVILLE MED I FCI

FUNC: PRT

| FACL ASSIGNMENT | DESCRIPTION . | DUCATION 1 | INFORMATION - | . ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------|------------------------|------------|------|
| VIM ESL HAS | ENGLISH PROFICE | I EN'I' | START: - G7-31- | DATE/TIME 1991 0830 | STOP DATE/ | TIME |
| • | COMPLETED GED (| ok us Dibi | LOMA 06-01- | 1991 0712 | CURRENT | |

| | SUB-FACL | DESCRIPTION EDUCATION | COURSES | | | | :* | |
|---|----------------|--|------------|------------|------|----|----------|-----|
| 入 | VIM | RPP FCI PRISON 2 PAYCHECK (C6) | START DATE | STOP DATE | EVNT | AC | LV | HRS |
| | VIM | FILM STUDY COURSE | 04-26-2004 | 07-21-2004 | C | C | P. | 0 |
| | BMP | SEWING VT: M-F 12:30P-3:30PM | 10-26-2003 | 01-16-2004 | ₽ | Ċ | P | 24 |
| | PHX | VCR REPAIR | 07-16-1999 | 08-13-1999 | P | Ĉ | Ē | 60 |
| • | PET | GED PM | 05-23-1994 | 07-26-1994 | P. | Č | M | 240 |
| | PET | ABE MATH PM | 03-17-1991 | 05-03-1991 | P | W | т | 105 |
| | PET | ABE ENGLISH PM | 01-28-1990 | 03-17-1991 | Ĉ | W | Ť | 40 |
| | PET | A D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D | 01-28-1990 | 03-17-1991 | P | C | P | 40 |
| | PET | ABE READING PM | 01-28-1990 | 03-17-1991 | Ĉ | W. | T | |
| | | WELDING VT RELATED TRNG WELDING VT AM MAIN INST | 10-01-1990 | 12-16-1990 | - | C | <u>.</u> | 40 |
| | | TATA TADI | 10-01-1990 | 12-16-1990 | _ | _ | E | 25 |
| | | WELDING VT RELATED TRNG | 04-24-1990 | 09-30-1990 | _ | | M | 200 |
| | _ _ | THE THE VI AN MAIN INCID | 04-24-1990 | 00-20-1390 | _ | | E | 50 |
| | LCT. | WEIDING TRADEON A TOTAL | 04-10-1990 | 09-30-1990 | | C | M | 336 |
| | | | 04 10-1390 | U4-24-1990 | P | C | E | 80 |

Certificate of Service

Petitioner hereby Certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing motion has been sent postage paid by regular U.S. mail to the following address:

TO Clerk of the Court Southern

District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT U.S. CLERK

880 Front Street

San Diego, Ca 92101-8900

Date_100,3,2007

Robert Moore #15878-198

in pro se

El Reno F.C.I.

P.O.BOX 1500

El Reno, OK 73036

Certificate of Service

Petitioner hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing motion has been sent postage paid by regular U.S. mail to the following address:

U.S. ATTORNEYS OFFICE

880 FRONT STREET

ROOM 6293

SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-8900

Date 1003, 2007

Robert Moore in Pro Se

#15878-198

El Reno F. C. I.

P.O.BOX 1500

El Reno, Ok 73036

JS44

CIVIL COVER SHEET

| (Rev. 07/83) | | CIVII | , CO 1 | DI GILDET | • | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | ved by the Judicial Conference | of the United States in S | | | lings or other papers as required by lof the Clerk of Court for the purpo | | | |
| I (a) PLAINTIFFS | | | DEFEN | DANTS 07 MOU | 0 81440 00 | | | |
| Robert Moore | | | | O 1 MOA | -8 AM 10: 36 | | | |
| Nobelt Moore | | | | GLERK Uni 990thern di | ted States of Ameri | ca | | |
| (b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE | E OF FIRST LISTED | an Diego | COUNT | Y OF RESIDENCE OF F | RST LISTED DEFENDANT | | | |
| PLAINTIFF | | | 1 | (IN-U.S.: PLAINTIFF | CASES ONLY) | | | |
| (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) | | | NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND | | | | | |
| (c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAM | 1E, ADDRESS, AND TELEP | HONE NUMBER) | ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) | | | | | |
| Robert Moore | | | 1 | | | | | |
| El Reno Federal Correctional Institution P.O. Box 1500 El Reno, OK 93036 | | | U.S. Attorney | | | | | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION | N (PLACE AN x IN ONE BO | X ONLY) | | | AL PARTIES (PLACE AN X IN | | | |
| | | | (For Di | versity Cases Only) | | ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT | | |
| □ IU.S. Government Plaintiff | ☐3Federal Question | | Cisimon | | PT DEF | PT DEF | | |
| , | (U.S. Government No | t a Party) | Citizen | of This State | □ 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Princip in This State | al Place of Business | | |
| ☑2U.S. Government Defendant ☐4Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in | | Citizen | of Another State | □2 □2 Incorporated and Princ in Another State | ipal Place of Business □5 □5 | | | |
| · Item III | | | Citizen o | or Subject of a Foreign | □6 □6 | | | |
| V. NATURE OF CHIT OF A | YE AN Y IN ONE BOY ON | | 3 U.S. | C. 3582 | | | | |
| V. NATURE OF SUIT (PLAC | | ORTS | | FORFEITURE/PENALTY | BANKRUPTCY | OTHER STATUTES | | |
| | PERSONAL INJURY | PERSONAL INJU | IRV | + | | | | |
| ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ Marine | □ 310 Airplane | _ | | 610 Agriculture | 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 | 400 State Reappointment 410 Antitrust | | |
| Miller Act | 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability | ☐ 362 Personal Injury- Medical Malpractice | | 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure | PROPERTY RIGHTS | 430 Banks and Banking | | |
| Negotiable Instrument | 320 Assault, Libel & Slander | 365 Personal Injury - | | of Property 21 USC881 | 820 Copyrights | 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. | | |
| 150 Recovery of Overpayment | 330 Federal Employers' | Product Liability | | | □ 830 Patent | 460 Deportation | | |
| &Enforcement of Judgment | Liability | 368 Asbestos Personal I | Injury | ☐ 640 RR & Truck | ☐ 840 Trademark | 470 Racketeer Influenced and | | |
| ☐ 151 Medicare Act | 340 Marine | Product Liability | | 650 Airline Regs | SOCIAL SECURITY | Corrupt Organizations | | |
| ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student | | PERSONAL PROP | ERTY | 660 Occupational Safety/Healt | | R10 Selective Service | | |
| Loans (Excl. Veterans) | Liability | 370 Other Fraud | | 1 690 Other | 862 Black Lung (923) | R50 Securities/Commodities Exchange | | |
| 153Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans Benefits | 350 Motor Vehicle | 371 Truth in Lending | | LABOR | 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) | L | | |
| | ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability | 380 Other Personal Property Damage | | 710Fair Labor Standards Act | 864 SSID Title XVI | 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC | | |
| ☐ 160 Stockholders Suits ☐ Other Contract | L ' | | | L | R65 RSL(405(e)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS | 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act | | |
| 195 Contract Product Liability | → 360 Other Personal Injury | 385 Property Damage Product Liability | | ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act | 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff | 893 Environmental Matters | | |
| REAL PROPERTY | CIVIL RIGHTS | PRISONER PETIT | IONS | 740 Railway Labor Act | or Defendant) | 894 Energy Allocation Act | | |
| 210 Land Condemnation | 441 Voting | D510 Motions to Vacate S | | 790 Other Labor Litigation | 2 871 IRS - Third Party | 895 Freedom of Information Act | | |
| 220 Foreclosure | 442 Employment | Habeas Corpus | • | 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. | 26 USC 7609 | 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice | | |
| 230 Rent Lease & Electmant | 443 Housing/Accommodations | 530 General ■ | | Security Act | | Under Equal Access to Justice | | |
| 240 Tort to Land | 444 Welfare | 535 Death Penalty | | | | 950 Constitutionality of State | | |
| 245 Tort Product Liability | 440 Other Civil Rights | 540 Mandamus & Othe | r | | | 890 Other Statutory Actions | | |
| 290 All Other Real Property | L | 550 Civil Rights | | L . | | | | |
| VI. ORIGIN (PLACE AN X I | _ | 16 A | . | Петс. 16 | Dz Nachidlander Chicada | 7 Annual to District Ludge from | | |
| ☑ 1 Original Proceeding ☐ 2 R | temoval from 🗀 3 Remander | d from Appelate ☐4 F | Reinstated | ☐5 Transferred from | ☐6 Multidistrict Litigation ☐ | 7 Appeal to District Judge from | | |

or Reopened

DATE

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

State Court

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See Instructions):

Court

ACTION UNDER f.r.c.p. 23

 \square Check if this is a **class**

JUDGE

11/7/07



Magistrate Judgment

Check YES only if demanded in complaint:

Docket Number 88 cr 0936 H

JURY DEMAND: ☐ YES ☐NO

another district (specify)

DEMAND \$